

Friday, October 19th 1990

Today's Topics:

Horse-patooties and sonic booms  
Re: Mystery Teletype  
Re: Mystery Teletype  
Faked UFO Pictures  
Aviation Week & Space Tec  
Horse-patooties And Sonic Booms  
Horse-patooties and sonic booms  
Odd aircraft and X-31  
Re: Faked Ufo Pictures  
The Salisberrys

---

From: Tom Betz <tbetz@upaya.UCAR.EDU>  
Subject: Horse-patooties and sonic booms  
Date: 16 Oct 90 04:11:59 GMT

| From: Jim.Speiser@f37.n114.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Jim Speiser)

| I'm terribly sorry, but the preceding articles are horse patooties. A craft  
| travelling at such a tremendous speed would HAVE to create a sonic boom.  
| Since no sonic boom was reported, the entire article has to be classed as  
| highly suspect.

(Presuming you ain't jivin' here) That ain't necessarily so.  
Aerodynamicists have been working very hard on controlling the  
shock wave around supersonic craft, reducing the included angle  
of the cone, and 'wrapping' it around the craft itself, so it  
never hits the ground, hence can not be heard.

It is quite possible that this has been accomplished in some of  
these black-budget aircraft.

I'll review the postings again, and seek out this set of AvWeek  
articles, to see if mention of this is made.

| Well, that's exactly the kind of crap you hear in the FIDO SCIENCE echo  
| anytime you mention UFOs.

Now, now, no need to slam these poor fools...

--

---

'Ever since the fateful day when Al heard about that 'Follow Your Bliss' thing, it's been just cannoli, cannoli, and more cannoli.' - Peter Hannah		hombre!marob!upaya!tbet  Tom Betz - GBS (914) 375-1510
--	--	---

-----  
From: John.Burke@f20.n1011.z9.FIDONET.ORG (John Burke)  
Subject: Re: Mystery Teletype  
Date: 15 Oct 90 01:26:00 GMT

JD> ...many people seem to want to shift the focus from whether Mr. Ed  
> is on the up and up, to attacking those who don't support Mr. Ed.

Jim:

I'm also amused by the tactic (as demonstrated by Budd Hopkins on  
pg 20 of the July-Aug `90 issue of \_IUR\_) of using Ed's children as  
"human shields" to absorb the charges of "HOAXER!" being fired at  
Ed. In this way such a critic can be characterized as besmirching the  
reputations of innocent \*children\*. (-- which just happens to be what  
Ed did to Tom Mock and now Tommy Smith.)

When did Laura or Dan Walters ever go on the record as claiming to  
have seen Ed take any of his photographs? Noone could be blamed for  
attempting to implicate Laura or Dan in a hoax unless these kids had  
made such a claim.

-- John

--

John Burke - via FidoNet node 1:207/109  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: John.Burke@f20.n1011.z9.FIDONET.ORG

-----  
From: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Hicks)  
Subject: Re: Mystery Teletype  
Date: 15 Oct 90 15:30:00 GMT

> Am I reading your messages correctly?? You are saying that  
> Ed's videos show that the UFO stayed within one video scanline  
> and that is verification of authenticity???

My info came from Bruce Maccabee's paper on his analysis of the  
video. I'll have to re-read it to be sure of all the details, and I'll  
let you know.

jbh

--

John Hicks - via FidoNet node 1:207/109

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

-----  
From: Charlie Turner <CHARLIE@umvma.bitnet>  
Subject: Faked UFO Pictures  
Date: 16 Oct 90 17:54:51 GMT

Speaking of faked UFO pictures, one of the TV network home video shows (on ABC I think) announced a contest for people to send in their best fake UFO videos. Best presumably in this context means funniest, most outrageous, etc. I can't wait to see what they come up with!

-----  
From: Michael.Corbin@f110.n208.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)  
Subject: Aviation Week & Space Tec  
Date: 13 Oct 90 14:42:00 GMT

- \* Forwarded from "Fido UFO Echo"
- \* Originally from Harrison Hopper
- \* Originally dated 10-09-90 16:09

First of all, there was no new information in this weeks Aviation Week & Space Technology (October 8, 1990) on any developments in the Nevada desert.

However, there was an interesting letter to the editor regarding one of the aircraft mentioned in the earlier articles:

At the recent Tailhook Symposium, I listened to the first public briefing on the Navy's newest attack aircraft, the A-12 Avenger. After the presentation I saw someone I had not seen for several years.

"Hey, did you see the A-12 presentation?" I asked.

"Yes," he said, smirking, the grin indigenous to everyone associated with a "black" program.

"What did you think of it?" I asked.

"I can't talk about it," he said.

"Why not?" I asked.

"Well, I've been on the program for a long time and it's very classified and I mean very."

"I'm not asking you to reveal classified information," I insisted. "I merely wondered what you thought of the briefing."

"If I were to comment on the briefing, that might add credence to something they said, and that could be construed as divulging classified information," my acquaintance said.

"Don't you think you're carrying 'classified information' a little too far?" I asked.

"Are you kidding?" my colleague replied. "Listen, this program has been so tight that we were not even allowed to sit near someone eating a bag of Dorito Corn Chips."

"Why not?" I asked incredulously.

"Because if we sat close to someone eating a Dorito, that might be construed as acknowledging the shape of the aircraft," he said.

My wife, a fan of Katherine Anne Porter, turned to me and said: "Obviously the code name for the program when it was 'black' must have been 'A Chip of Fools.'"

Perhaps the real name of the airplane should have been the A-12 Dorito.

James P. Stevenson  
Rancho Santa Fe, Calif.

Actually, the A-12 *is* shaped like a Dorito!

hop

--

Michael Corbin - via FidoNet node 1:207/109  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@f110.n208.z1.FIDONET.ORG

-----  
From: Michael.Corbin@f110.n207.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)  
Subject: Horse-patooties And Sonic Booms  
Date: 16 Oct 90 14:53:00 GMT

> From: postmaster@scicom.alphacdc.com  
> Date: 16 Oct 90 04:11:59 GMT  
> Message-ID: <7247@scicom.AlphaCDC.COM>

> Newsgroups: info.paranet  
>  
> From: Tom Betz <tbetz@upaya.UCAR.EDU>

I am curious as to what part microwaves play in aerodynamics. I have heard that microwaves are used. For what?

Thanks,

Mike

--

Michael Corbin - via FidoNet node 1:207/109  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@f110.n207.z1.FIDONET.ORG

---

From: Jim.Speiser@f37.n114.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Jim Speiser)  
Subject: Horse-patooties and sonic booms  
Date: 17 Oct 90 06:13:00 GMT

> (Presuming you ain't jivin' here)

Jivin' I is, Tom. I know they've been working on it, and furthermore I know they'll eventually solve the problem. I only raised the point to show how short-sighted some "scientific types" can be.

Jim

--

Jim Speiser - via FidoNet node 1:207/109  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: Jim.Speiser@f37.n114.z1.FIDONET.ORG

---

From: '23BMSDO' <23bmsdo@sacemnet.af.mil>  
Subject: Odd aircraft and X-31  
Date: 18 Oct 90 07:51:53 GMT

Mike -

I think there is more to the recent Roswell fly-bys than first meets the eye. After talking to the Flight Service Station people at Roswell field less than a week afterward ...they made some comments that didn't point to

USAF aircraft. We don't drop flares and chaff on civilians.

-----

Jim Speiser - I'll be at Edwards AFB this weekend(19-21 Oct) and if I see anything I'll be sure not to tell you. Especially if I run across any of Lazar's saucers!!(grin). Here is something that might tickle your interest:.....

-----

#### X-31 MAKES FIRST SUCCESSFUL FLIGHT

The Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA)/U. S. Navy X-31A Enhanced Fighter Maneuverability demonstrator aircraft today successfully completed its first flight.

The X-31 took off from runway 07 at Rockwell International's Palmdale, Calif., facility at 12:36 p.m. (PDT). During the 38-minute flight, the X-31 accelerated to a speed of approximately 340 mils per hour and reached an altitude of 10,000 feet. The plane returned to Palmdale and will now undergo additional air worthiness tests and then begin to fully expand its operating envelope in subsequent flights, which are expected shortly.

During the flight, the plane, flown by Rockwell chief test pilot Ken Dyson, exhibited the expected flying qualities and subsystem performance.

The X-31's operating envelope includes controlled flight beyond the aircraft's aerodynamic lift limit ('high angles of attack'). This capability is achieved through a highly sophisticated digital flight control system that includes an integrated vectored thrust system using thrust vectoring paddles. The X-31 is intended to demonstrate whether it is possible to controllably exploit this high angle of attack flight regime and thus allow a fighter aircraft to achieve tighter, faster turns, which would be a definite tactical advantage during close in combat.

After watching the first flight Lt. Col. John 'Tack' Nix, the X-31 program manager at DARPA, stated that, 'We really are anxious to expand the flight envelope and put the X-31 through its paces. This first flight represents a triumph of trust and perseverance of the integrated Rockwell/MBB/U.S. Navy/German/DARPA team. The flight test phase will continue to require the very best efforts of all organizations involved to fully demonstrate the unique maneuvering potential contained in the two X-31 airframes.'

The X-31 is the first international experimental aircraft development program undertaken by the U.S. The U.S. contractor building the two demonstrator aircraft is Rockwell International; Messerschmitt-Bolkow-Blohm (MBB) is the German contractor. The Naval Air Systems Command is DARPA's agent for the U.S. portion of the program and is providing both technical management and contracting support.

-----end

Enjoy....

-----  
From: Mike.Keithly@f320.n207.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Mike Keithly)  
Subject: Re: Faked Ufo Pictures  
Date: 19 Oct 90 05:11:00 GMT

Maybe Ed Walters Paper Plates??  
Mike Keithly

--  
Mike Keithly - via FidoNet node 1:207/109  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: Mike.Keithly@f320.n207.z1.FIDONET.ORG

-----  
From: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Hicks)  
Subject: The Salisberrys  
Date: 18 Oct 90 18:00:00 GMT

JS> Forgive me if I've asked this before, but what do you know  
JS> about Rex and Carol Salisbury now claiming to be convinced  
JS> that Ed is a hoaxer? I have now heard this from two  
JS> sources.

Rex has never said that to me. He's generally stayed away from the Ed controversy. I'll give him a call this weekend and see if he'll say anything about it.

Rex is a very solid hardware-type investigator, so I don't think he'd be going on hearsay or opinions.

I'll pass along anything I find out.

jbh

--  
John Hicks - via FidoNet node 1:207/109  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

\*\*\*\*\*To have your comments in the next issue, send electronic mail to\*\*\*\*\*  
'infopara' at the following address:

UUCP	{ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara
DOMAIN	infopara@scicom.alphacdc.com
ADMIN Address	infopara-request@scicom.alphacdc.com
	{ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara-request

\*\*\*\*\*The\*\*End\*\*of\*\*Info-ParaNet\*\*Newsletter\*\*\*\*\*

□